arXiv:0903.1169v1 [math.DG] 6 Mar 2009

SEMI-BASIC 1-FORMS AND HELMHOLTZ CONDITIONS FOR THE INVERSE PROBLEM OF THE CALCULUS OF VARIATIONS

IOAN BUCATARU AND MATIAS F. DAHL

Abstract. We use Frölicher-Nijenhuis theory to obtain global Helmholtz conditions, expressed in terms of a semi-basic 1-form, that characterize when a semispray is locally Lagrangian. We also discuss the relation between these Helmholtz conditions and their classic formulation written using a multiplier matrix. When the semi-basic 1-form is 1-homogeneous (0-homogeneous) we show that two (one) of the Helmholtz conditions are consequences of the other ones. These two special cases correspond to two inverse problems in the calculus of variation: Finsler metrizability for a spray, and projective metrizability for a spray.

2000 MSC: 58E30, 53C60, 58B20, 53C22

Keywords: Poincaré-Cartan 1-form, Helmholtz conditions, inverse problem, projective metrizability, Finsler metrizability.

1. Introduction

The inverse problem of the calculus of variations can be formulated as follows. Under what conditions a system of second order differential equations (SODE), on a n-dimensional manifold M,

$$\frac{d^2x^i}{dt^2} + 2G^i(x,\dot{x}) = 0, i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}, \tag{1}$$

can be derived from a variational principle? An approach to this problem uses the Helmholtz conditions, which are necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a multiplier matrix $g_{ij}(x, \dot{x})$ such that

$$g_{ij}(x,\dot{x})\left(\frac{d^2x^j}{dt^2} + 2G^j(x,\dot{x})\right) = \frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}^i}\right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial x^i}, \tag{2}$$

for some Lagrangian function $L(x, \dot{x})$. The multiplier matrix g_{ij} induces a symmetric $(0, 2)$-type tensor field g along the tangent bundle projection. Geometric formulation of Helmholtz conditions in terms of g_{ij} were obtained by Sarlet [32] and expressed later using the tensor g by Martinez et al. [26]. There are various approaches to derive the Helmholtz conditions in both autonomous and nonautonomous case. For discussions, see Crampin [7], Krupkova and Prince [22], Morandi et al. [28].

In this paper we will study the inverse problem of calculus of variations when the system of SODE in equation (1) arise from a semispray. In Theorem 4.1 we give a global formulation for the Helmholtz conditions in terms of a semi-basic 1-form.

Date: March 6, 2009.

If there exists a semi-basic 1-form that satisfies these Helmholtz conditions, the 1-form is the Poincaré-Cartan 1-form of a locally defined Lagrangian function. Then the original semispray is an Euler-Lagrange vector field for this Lagrangian. In Section 4.2, we explain how these Helmholtz conditions for a 1-form correspond to the classic formulation of Helmholtz conditions in terms of a multiplier matrix. To derive the Helmholtz conditions in Theorem 4.1 we use Frölicher-Nijenhuis theory on $TM \setminus \{0\}$ and geometric structures on $TM \setminus \{0\}$ induced by the semispray. See Sections 2 and 3, respectively.

It has been shown recently that for the case of Finsler spaces one of the Helmholtz condition is a consequence of the other ones, Prince [30]. In [34], Sarlet claims that this Helmholtz condition is redundant for homogeneity of any order. In Theorem 4.3, we prove that, depending on the degree of homogeneity, one or two of the Helmholtz conditions can be derived from the other ones. Therefore, in Section 5.3, we show that a spray S is Lagrangian if and only if only if only two or three of the four Helmholtz conditions are satisfied, depending on the degree of homogeneity. In particular we discuss Helmholtz conditions for two important inverse problems: projective metrizability and Finsler metrizability.

For the projective metrizability of a spray S, we show that S is an Euler-Lagrange vector field for a 1-homogenous Lagrangian if and only if two of the Helmholtz conditions, expressed in terms of a semi-basic, 0-homogeneous 1-form, are satisfied. In Section 5.3, we explain how these two Helmholtz conditions correspond to the Rapcsák conditions that characterize projective metrizability [31]. For other characterizations of projective metrizability of a spray, see Klein [17], Klein and Voutier [18], Shen [35], and Szilasi [37]. For the case of a flat spray the two Helmholtz conditions lead to Hamel's equations studied recently by Crampin [10] and Szilasi [37].

For $k > 1$, we show that a spray S is an Euler-Lagrange vector field of a k-homogeneous Lagrangian if and only if three of the Helmholtz conditions are satisfied. In particular, when $k = 2$, we obtain three Helmholtz conditions, expressed in terms of a semi-basic, 1-homogeneous 1-form, for a spray S to be Finsler metrizable. In Section 5.3, we explain how these three Helmholtz conditions are related to previous discussions for the Finsler metrizability of a spray. See the work of Crampin [9], Krupka and Sattarov [20], Muzsnay [29], Prince [30], Szilasi and Vattamáni [38].

An important tool in this work is the dynamical covariant derivative induced by a semispray S. The notion of dynamical covariant derivative was first introduced by Carinẽna and Martinez in [5] as a covariant derivative along the tangent bundle projection. A recent discussion of various connections associated to a semispray and their relation with the dynamical covariant derivative is due to Sarlet [34]. See also [11, 26]. Since all the geometric structures that can be derived from a semispray S are naturally defined on the tangent bundle TM, we introduce, in Section 3.2, the dynamical covariant derivative as a tensor derivation on TM and study commutation formulae with geometric structures induced by S. For a semispray, the dynamical covariant derivative preserves the induced horizontal and vertical distributions and hence will preserve semi-basic (vector valued) forms. The restriction to semi-basic forms of the dynamical covariant derivative coincides with the semi-basic derivation studied by Grifone and Muzsnay [15].

2. Preliminaries

By a manifold M we mean a second countable Hausdorff space that is locally homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^n$ with C^∞-smooth transition maps. Here $n \geq 1$ is the dimension of M. By TM we mean the tangent bundle (TM, π, M) and by $TM\backslash\{0\}$ the tangent bundle with the zero section removed. The canonical submersion $\pi : TM \to M$ induces a *natural foliation* on TM, whose leafs are tangent spaces $T_pM = \pi^{-1}(p)$, $p \in M$. Local coordinates on M will be denoted by x^i, while induced coordinates on TM will be denoted by x^i, y^i. Then x^i are transverse coordinates for the natural foliation, and y^i are coordinates for the leafs of this foliation.

Throughout the paper we assume that all objects are C^∞-smooth where defined. The ring of smooth functions on a manifold M is denoted by $C^\infty(M)$, the C^∞ module of k-forms is denoted by $\Lambda^k(M)$, and the C^∞ module of vector fields is denoted by $\mathfrak{X}(M)$. The C^∞ module of (r, s)-type tensor fields on M is denoted by $\mathcal{T}^r_s(M)$ and $\mathcal{T}(M)$ denotes the tensor algebra on M.

By a *vector valued l-form* ($l \geq 0$) on a manifold M we mean a $(1, l)$-type tensor field on M that is anti-symmetric in its l-arguments.

If $c \colon I \to M$, $c = (x^i)$ is a curve, we denote by c' its tangent $c' \colon I \to TM$, $c'(t) = (x^i, \dot{x}^i)$. A curve c is *regular* if $c'(t) \in TM \setminus \{0\}$ for all $t \in I$.

2.1. Frölicher-Nijenhuis theory on $TM \setminus \{0\}$.

In this section we give a quick review of the Frölicher-Nijenhuis theory. For systematic treatments, see the original paper of Frölicher and Nijenhuis [13] and the book of Kolar et al. [19]. In this paper we apply this theory on $TM \setminus \{0\}$, following Grifone and Muzsnay [15], Klein and Voutier [18], de León and Rodrigues [23], and Szilasi [36].

Suppose A is a vector valued l-form on $TM \setminus \{0\}$, and α is a k-form on $TM \setminus \{0\}$ where $l \geq 0$ and $k \geq 1$. Then the *inner product* of A and α is the $(k + l - 1)$-form $i_A\alpha$ defined as

$$\begin{aligned}(3)\quad & i_A\alpha(X_1, \cdots, X_{k+l-1}) = \\ & \frac{1}{l!(k-1)!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{k+l-1}} \operatorname{sign}(\sigma)\ \alpha\left(A(X_{\sigma(1)}, \cdots, X_{\sigma(l)}), X_{\sigma(l+1)}, \cdots, X_{\sigma(k+l-1)}\right),\end{aligned}$$

where $X_1, \ldots, X_{k+l-1} \in \mathfrak{X}(TM \setminus \{0\})$, and S_p is the permutation group of elements $1, \ldots, p$. When $l = 0$, A is a vector field on $TM \setminus \{0\}$ and $i_A\alpha$ is the usual inner product of k-form α with respect to a vector field A. When $l = 1$, A is a $(1, 1)$-type tensor field and $i_A\alpha$ is the k-form

$$i_A\alpha(X_1, \cdots, X_k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha(X_1, ..., AX_i, ..., X_k). \tag{4}$$

We also define $i_A\alpha = 0$ when $\alpha \in \Lambda^0(TM \setminus \{0\}) = C^\infty(TM \setminus \{0\})$ and A is any vector valued l-form on $TM \setminus \{0\}$.

One can define an *exterior inner product* $\overline{\wedge}$ on the graded algebra of vector valued differential forms on $TM \setminus \{0\}$ using a similar formula as (4), [13]. In this work we will need only the exterior inner product of a vector valued k-form A with a $(1, 1)$-type tensor B. In this case we define $B\overline{\wedge}A$ as the vector valued k-form

$$B\overline{\wedge}A(X_1, \cdots, X_k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} B(X_1, ..., AX_i, ..., X_k). \tag{5}$$

Let A be a vector valued l-form on $TM \setminus \{0\}$, where $l \geq 0$. Then the *exterior derivative* with respect to A is the map $d_A \colon \Lambda^k(TM \setminus \{0\}) \to \Lambda^{k+l}(TM \setminus \{0\})$ for $k \geq 0$,

$$d_A = i_A \circ d - (-1)^{l-1} d \circ i_A. \tag{6}$$

A k-form ω on $TM \setminus \{0\}$ is called d_A*-closed* if $d_A\omega = 0$ and d_A*-exact* if there exists $\theta \in \Lambda^{k-l}(TM \setminus \{0\})$ such that $\omega = d_A\theta$.

When $A \in \mathfrak{X}(TM \setminus \{0\})$ (that is, when $l = 0$) and $k \geq 0$, we obtain $d_A = \mathcal{L}_A$, where $\mathcal{L}_A$ is the usual Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_A \colon \Lambda^k(TM \setminus \{0\}) \to \Lambda^k(TM \setminus \{0\})$. In this case equation (6) is *Cartan's formula.*

If $A = \mathrm{Id}$, then $l = 1$ and $d_{\mathrm{Id}} = d$ since $i_{\mathrm{Id}}\alpha = k\alpha$ for $\alpha \in \Lambda^k(TM \setminus \{0\})$.

Suppose A and B are vector valued forms on $TM \setminus \{0\}$ of degrees $l \geq 0$ and $k \geq 0$, respectively. Then, the *Frölicher-Nijenhuis bracket* of A and B is the unique vector valued $(k+l)$-form $[A, B]$ on $TM \setminus \{0\}$ such that [13],

$$d_{[A,B]} = d_A \circ d_B - (-1)^{kl} d_B \circ d_A. \tag{7}$$

When A and B are vector fields (that is, when $k = l = 0$), then Frölicher-Nijenhuis bracket $[A, B]$ coincides with the usual Lie bracket $[A, B] = \mathcal{L}_A B$.

When A and B are $(1,1)$-type tensor fields (that is, when $k = l = 1$), Frölicher-Nijenhuis bracket $[A, B]$ is the vector valued 2-form [19, p. 73]

$$\begin{aligned} [A, B](X, Y) &= [AX, BY] + [BX, AY] + (AB + BA)[X, Y] \\ &\quad - A[X, BY] - B[X, AY] - A[BX, Y] - B[AX, Y]. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

In particular,

$$\frac{1}{2}[A, A](X, Y) = [AX, AY] + A^2[X, Y] - A[X, AY] - A[AX, Y]. \tag{9}$$

For a $(1,1)$-type tensor field A, the vector valued 2-form $N_A = (1/2)[A, A]$ is called the *Nijenhuis tensor* of A.

For a vector field X in $\mathfrak{X}(TM \setminus \{0\})$ and a $(1,1)$-type tensor field A on $TM \setminus \{0\}$ the Frölicher-Nijenhuis bracket $[X, A] = \mathcal{L}_X A$ is the $(1,1)$-type tensor field on $TM \setminus \{0\}$ given by

$$\mathcal{L}_X A = \mathcal{L}_X \circ A - A \circ \mathcal{L}_X. \tag{10}$$

Next commutation formulae on $\Lambda^k(TM \setminus \{0\})$, $k \geq 0$, will be used throughout the paper, [15]:

$$i_A d_B - d_B i_A = d_{B \circ A} - i_{[A,B]}, \tag{11}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_X i_A - i_A \mathcal{L}_X = i_{[X,A]}, \tag{12}$$

$$i_X d_A + d_A i_X = \mathcal{L}_{AX} - i_{[X,A]}, \tag{13}$$

for $(1,1)$-type tensor fields A, B and a vector field X on $TM \setminus \{0\}$. We will refer to formula (13) as to the generalized Cartan's formula, since by taking $A = \mathrm{Id}$, it reduces to the usual Cartan formula.

2.2. Homogeneous objects.

Suppose k is an integer. Then a function $f \in C^\infty(TM \setminus \{0\})$ is said to be *positively k-homogeneous* (or briefly *k-homogeneous*) if $f(\lambda y) = \lambda^k f(y)$ for all $\lambda > 0$ and $y \in TM \setminus \{0\}$. By Euler's theorem, a function $f \in C^\infty(TM \setminus \{0\})$ is k-homogeneous if and only if $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}} f = kf$, where $\mathbb{C} \in \mathfrak{X}(TM)$

is the *Liouville vector field* (or *dilatation vector field*) defined as $\mathbb{C}(y) = (y+sy)'(0)$. In local coordinates (x^i, y^i) for TM,

$$\mathbb{C} = y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}. \tag{14}$$

Using vector field $\mathbb{C}$, we also define homogeneity for other objects on $TM \setminus \{0\}$. A vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(TM \setminus \{0\})$ is k-homogeneous if and only if $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}} X = (k-1)X$. Alternatively, a vector field is k-homogeneous if its flow is k-homogeneous. For example, Liouville vector field $\mathbb{C}$ is 1-homogeneous. A p-form $\omega \in \Lambda^p(TM \setminus \{0\})$ is k-homogeneous if and only if $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}}\omega = k\omega$. Lastly, a $(1,1)$-tensor L on $TM \setminus \{0\}$ is k-homogeneous if and only if $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}} L = (k-1)L$.

2.3. Vertical calculus on $TM \setminus \{0\}$.

Next, we define the canonical tangent structure J on $TM \setminus \{0\}$, which is a $(1,1)$-type tensor on $TM \setminus \{0\}$. Then, the Frölicher–Nijenhuis theory gives a particular differential calculus with operators i_J and d_J. These operators are well suited for studying Finsler and Lagrange geometries on $TM \setminus \{0\}$ [4, 15, 23, 28, 36]. They also play a key role in this paper.

The *vertical subbundle* is defined as

$$VTM = \{\xi \in TTM : (D\pi)(\xi) = 0\}. \tag{15}$$

Then the map $V_u : u \mapsto V_u = VTM \cap T_u TM$ defines the *vertical distribution* V. It is a n-dimensional, integrable distribution, being tangent to the natural foliation. That is, any vertical vector $u \in VTM$ can be written as $u = (y+tz)'(0)$ for some vectors $y, z \in TM$ with $\pi(y) = \pi(z)$. An important vertical vector field on $TM \setminus \{0\}$ is the Liouville vector field (14).

On $TM \setminus \{0\}$ *the tangent structure* (or the *vertical endomorphism*) is the $(1,1)$-type tensor J defined as

$$J(\xi) = (\tau(\xi) + t(D\pi)(\xi))'(0), \forall \xi \in TTM.$$

Here $\tau : TTM \to TM$ is the canonical submersion of the second order iterated tangent bundle. Locally,

$$J = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \otimes dx^i. \tag{16}$$

Tensor J satisfies $J^2 = 0$ and $\operatorname{Ker} J = \operatorname{Im} J = VTM$ and J is 0-homogeneous since $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}} J = [\mathbb{C}, J] = -J$, [14]. An important notion in this work is that of semi-basic forms, [15, 23].

Definition 2.1. Consider $k \geq 1$.

i) A k-form ω on $TM \setminus \{0\}$ is called *semi-basic* if $\omega(X_1, ..., X_k) = 0$, when one of the vectors X_i, $i \in \{1, ..., k\}$ is vertical.

ii) A vector valued k-form A on $TM \setminus \{0\}$ is called *semi-basic* if it takes values in the vertical bundle and $A(X_1, ..., X_k) = 0$, when one of the vectors X_i, $i \in \{1, ..., k\}$ is vertical.

If a k-form ω is semi-basic then using formula (4) we obtain that $i_J\omega = 0$. The converse is true only if $k = 1$. In other words, a 1-form $\theta \in \Lambda^1(TM \setminus \{0\})$ is semi-basic if and only if $i_J\theta = 0$. Moreover, any semi-basic 1-form $\theta \in \Lambda^1(TM \setminus \{0\})$ can be written as $\theta = i_J\omega$, for a (non unique) 1-form $\omega \in \Lambda^1(TM \setminus \{0\})$. Semi-basic 1-forms are annihilators for the vertical distribution. In local coordinates (x^i, y^i) for $TM \setminus \{0\}$, a semi-basic 1-form can be expressed as $\theta = \theta_i(x, y)dx^i$.

If a vector valued k-form A is semi-basic then $J \circ A = 0$ and $A \overline{\wedge} J = 0$. The converse is true only if $k = 1$. A vector valued 1-form A on $TM \setminus \{0\}$ is semi-basic if and only if $J \circ A = 0$ and $A \circ J = 0$. It follows that the tangent structure J is a vector valued, semi-basic 1-form, and its Nijenhuis tensor $N_J = (1/2)[J, J]$ vanishes. Hence equation (7) implies that

$$d_J^2 = d_J \circ d_J = 0. \tag{17}$$

Formula (17) shows that any d_J-exact form on $TM \setminus \{0\}$ is also d_J-closed.

For semi-basic forms, d_J is the exterior differential along the leafs of the natural foliation and from formula (17) it satisfies a local Poincaré lemma, [39, 40]. Therefore, d_J-closed semi-basic forms on $TM \setminus \{0\}$ are locally d_J-exact. Note that a local Poincaré lemma does not hold true if we do not restrict d_J to semi-basic forms, as it has been pointed out in [28, p.173]. Locally, a semi-basic 1-form $\theta = \theta_i dx^i$ is d_J-closed and hence locally d_J-exact if and only if the matrix $(\partial\theta_i/\partial y^j)$ is symmetric. The relation between d_J-closed and d_J-exact semi-basic forms on $TM \setminus \{0\}$ has been discussed by Klein [17] for the homogeneous case. In this context it has been shown by Klein and Voutier [18] and de León and Rodrigues [23] that a semi-basic p-form, k-homogeneous with $p \neq -k$, is d_J-closed if and only if it is d_J-exact. In Proposition 4.2 we will specialize this result to homogeneous, semi-basic 1-forms.

Definition 2.2. A semi-basic 1-form $\theta \in \Lambda^1(TM \setminus \{0\})$ is called *non-degenerate* if $d\theta$ is a symplectic form on $TM \setminus \{0\}$.

A semi-basic 1-form $\theta = \theta_i dx^i$ is non-degenerate if and only if the matrix with entries $(\partial\theta_i/\partial y^j)$ is non-degenerate.

3. Semisprays and nonlinear connections

A system of second order differential equations (SODE) on a manifold M, whose coefficients functions do not depend explicitly on time, can be viewed as a special vector field on $TM \setminus \{0\}$, which is called a semispray. If the coefficients functions of the SODE are 2-homogeneous functions, then the corresponding vector field is called a spray. In the affine context, the notion of spray was introduced by Ambrose et al. [2] and later extended by Dazord [12].

In this section, we start with a semispray S and consider induced geometric structures that will be useful to express necessary and sufficient conditions for S to be Lagrangian. These geometric structures are defined using the nonlinear connection induced by a semispray, which was considered first by Crampin [6] and Grifone [14]. A nonlinear connection can be characterized using horizontal and vertical projectors, horizontal lifts, almost product structures or almost complex structures, see [4, 15, 23, 27, 28, 36]. We point out some important features of the induced geometric objects in the homogeneous case that will be used in the paper.

3.1. **Semisprays and nonlinear connections.**

Definition 3.1. i) A *semispray* (or a *second order vector field*) on M is a vector field $S \in \mathfrak{X}(TM \setminus \{0\})$ such that $JS = \mathbb{C}$.

ii) A *spray* on M is a semispray S that is 2-homogeneous as a vector field.

Locally, a semispray S on M can be written as

$$S = y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - 2G^i(x, y)\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}, \tag{18}$$

for some functions G^i called *semispray coefficients* of S. Functions G^i are defined on domains of induced coordinate charts on $TM \setminus \{0\}$.

A spray on M is a vector field $S \in \mathfrak{X}(TM \setminus \{0\})$ such that $JS = \mathbb{C}$ and $[\mathbb{C}, S] = S$. For a spray S functions G^i in formula (18) are 2-homogeneous functions where defined.

Definition 3.2. A regular curve $c : I \to M$ is a *geodesic* of a semispray S if $S \circ c' = c''$.

If $c(t) = (x^i(t))$ is a regular curve on M, then c is a geodesic of semispray S in equation (18) if it satisfies the system of second order ordinary differential equations

$$\frac{d^2x^i}{dt^2} + 2G^i\left(x, \frac{dx}{dt}\right) = 0. \tag{19}$$

Next we consider some tensors on $TM \setminus \{0\}$ associated with a semispray: horizontal and vertical projections h and v, almost product and complex structures Γ and $\mathbb{F}$, the Jacobi endomorphism Φ and the curvature tensor R.

Definition 3.3. A *nonlinear connection* (or a *horizontal distribution*) on M is defined by an n-dimensional distribution $H : u \in TM \setminus \{0\} \to H_u \subset T_u(TM \setminus \{0\})$ that is supplementary to the vertical distribution V, which means that $T_u(TM \setminus \{0\}) = H_u \oplus V_u$, for all $u \in TM \setminus \{0\}$.

The *horizontal projector* h and *vertical projector* v are $(1, 1)$-type tensors on $TM \setminus \{0\}$ defined as [14],

$$h = \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{Id} - \mathcal{L}_S J\right), \quad v = \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{Id} + \mathcal{L}_S J\right). \tag{20}$$

Locally,

$$h = \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} \otimes dx^i, \quad v = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \otimes \delta y^i,$$

where

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - N_i^j \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}, \quad \delta y^i = dy^i + N_j^i dx^j, \quad \text{and} \quad N_j^i = \frac{\partial G^i}{\partial y^j}. \tag{21}$$

Functions N_j^i are called the *nonlinear coefficients* associated to semispray S. The $(1, 1)$-type tensor field

$$\Gamma = -\mathcal{L}_S J \tag{22}$$

used to define the horizontal and vertical projectors in formulae (20) is called the *almost product structure* induced by semispray S, [14]. It can be written as $\Gamma = h - v$ and therefore $\Gamma^2 = \mathrm{Id}$.

The *almost complex structure* is the (1,1)-type tensor field on $TM \setminus \{0\}$ given by [15, 28]

$$\mathbb{F} = h \circ \mathcal{L}_S h - J. \tag{23}$$

Locally,

$$\mathbb{F} = \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} \otimes \delta y^i - \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \otimes dx^i. \tag{24}$$

It follows immediately that $\mathbb{F}^2 = -\operatorname{Id}$. Moreover, the following formulae for the above considered $(1,1)$-type tensor fields will be useful throughout the paper:

$$\mathbb{F} \circ J = h, \quad J \circ \mathbb{F} = v, \quad v \circ \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F} \circ h = -J, \quad h \circ \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F} \circ v = \mathbb{F} + J.$$

The *Jacobi endomorphism* Φ is defined as the $(1,1)$-type tensor field

$$\Phi = v \circ \mathcal{L}_S h = -v \circ \mathcal{L}_S v. \tag{25}$$

The Jacobi endomorphism Φ is a semi-basic vector valued 1-form and it is also called the *Douglas tensor* [15]. Jacobi endomorphism Φ has been defined as a $(1,1)$-type tensor field along the tangent bundle projection in [5, 11, 26]. Locally,

$$\Phi = R^i_j \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \otimes dx^j, \tag{26}$$

where

$$R^i_j = 2\frac{\partial G^i}{\partial x^j} - S\left(\frac{\partial G^i}{\partial y^j}\right) - \frac{\partial G^i}{\partial y^r}\frac{\partial G^r}{\partial y^j}. \tag{27}$$

The Jacobi endomorphism Φ has been used to study various aspects of an SODE: variational equations [4, 5], symmetries [4, 5, 25], separability [25], linearizability [11] as well as to express one of the Helmholtz condition of the inverse problem of the calculus of variation [7, 21, 26, 32, 34].

The *curvature tensor* R of a nonlinear connection N is defined as the Nijenhuis tensor of the horizontal projector h, $R = (1/2)[h, h]$. Locally,

$$R = R^k_{ij} dx^i \wedge dx^j \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}, \tag{28}$$

where

$$R^k_{ij} = \frac{\delta N^k_i}{\delta x^j} - \frac{\delta N^k_j}{\delta x^i}. \tag{29}$$

For the curvature tensor R we have that $R(X,Y) = R(hX, hY) = v[hX, hY]$ for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(TM \setminus \{0\})$. Therefore R is a semi-basic, vector valued 2-form that vanishes if and only if the horizontal distribution is integrable. If the horizontal distribution is integrable, then it is tangent to a foliation that is transverse to the natural foliation and d_h is the exterior differentiation along the leafs of this transverse foliation. It follows that for an integrable horizontal distribution we have that $d_h^2 = d_R = 0$ and the restriction of the differential operator d_h to forms tangent to the transverse foliation satisfies a local Poincaré lemma, [39]. Consequently, for a flat nonlinear connection, d_h-exact 1-forms tangent to the transverse foliation are locally d_h-closed.

The curvature tensor R can be obtained directly from the Jacobi endomorphism Φ through the following formula, [15, 25, 36]

$$3[J, \Phi] + R = 0. \tag{30}$$

One can also recover the Jacobi endomorphism Φ from the curvature tensor R through the following formula

$$\Phi = i_S R + v \circ \mathcal{L}_{vS} h. \tag{31}$$

Indeed for a vector field X on $TM \setminus \{0\}$, we have $\Phi(X) = v[S, hX]$ and $R(S, X) = v[hS, hX]$. Therefore, $\Phi(X) = R(S,X) + v[vS, hX]$, which proves formula (31).

If S is a spray then by Euler's theorem, the nonlinear coefficients N^i_j defined by formula (21) are 1-homogeneous. Using the homogeneity of a spray S and the horizontal projector (20) it follows that $S = hS$, which implies that S has the local expression

$$S = y^i \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}. \tag{32}$$

Therefore, for a spray S, we have that $vS = 0$ and formula (31) gives

$$\Phi = i_S R. \tag{33}$$

In local coordinates formula (33) can be written as

$$R^i_j(x,y) = R^i_{kj}(x,y) y^k, \tag{34}$$

and connects the Jacobi endomorphism R^i_j given by formula (27) and the curvature tensor R^i_{kj} given by formula (29).

3.2. Dynamical covariant derivative.

When a semispray S is given on a manifold M, the Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_S$ defines a tensor derivation on $TM \setminus \{0\}$. However, the derivation $\mathcal{L}_S$ does not preserve the geometric structures introduced in Section 3.1. In this section we show how to modify the derivation $\mathcal{L}_S$ to obtain a tensor derivation on $TM \setminus \{0\}$ that preserves these geometric structures. This derivation is called the *dynamical covariant derivative* of the semispray. The notion of dynamical covariant derivative induced by a semispray was first introduced by Cariñena and Martinez in [5] as a derivation of degree 0 along the tangent bundle projection, see also [11, 25, 26, 36]. It was also studied as a *semi-basic derivation* of semi-basic forms by Grifone and Muzsnay [15]. An extensive discussion about the dynamical covariant derivative ∇ and other linear connection along the tangent bundle projection, which are associated to a semispray, is due to Sarlet [34].

Definition 3.4. A map $\nabla : \mathcal{T}(TM \setminus \{0\}) \to \mathcal{T}(TM \setminus \{0\})$ is said to be a *tensor derivation* on $TM \setminus \{0\}$ if it satisfies the following conditions:

i) ∇ is $\mathbb{R}$-linear;
ii) ∇ is type preserving, which means that $\nabla(\mathcal{T}^r_s(TM \setminus \{0\})) \subset \mathcal{T}^r_s(TM \setminus \{0\})$, for each pair (r,s) in $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$;
iii) ∇ obeys the Leibnitz rule, which means that $\nabla(T \otimes S) = \nabla T \otimes S + T \otimes \nabla S$ for any tensor fields T, S on $TM \setminus \{0\}$;
iv) ∇ commutes with any contractions.

For a semispray S on M, let us consider the $\mathbb{R}$-linear map $\nabla_0 : \mathfrak{X}(TM \setminus \{0\}) \to \mathfrak{X}(TM \setminus \{0\})$

$$\nabla_0 X = h[S, hX] + v[S, vX], \forall X \in \mathfrak{X}(TM \setminus \{0\}). \tag{35}$$

One can immediately check that

$$\nabla_0(fX) = S(f)\nabla_0 X + f\nabla_0 X, \forall f \in C^\infty(TM \setminus \{0\}), \forall X \in \mathfrak{X}(TM \setminus \{0\}). \tag{36}$$

Any tensor derivation on $TM \setminus \{0\}$ is completely determined by its action on smooth functions and vector fields on $TM \setminus \{0\}$, see [36, p. 1217]. Therefore there exists a unique tensor derivation ∇ on $TM \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\nabla|_{C^\infty(TM\setminus\{0\})} = S, \quad \nabla|_{\mathfrak{X}(TM\setminus\{0\})} = \nabla_0.$$

We will call the tensor derivation ∇, the *dynamical covariant derivative* induced by the semispray S.

Next, we will obtain some alternative expressions for the action of the dynamical covariant derivative ∇ on vector fields, forms and vector-valued forms on $TM \setminus \{0\}$.

From formula (35), we have that the action of ∇ on $\mathfrak{X}\left(TM\setminus\{0\}\right)$ can be written as

$$\nabla = h\circ\mathcal{L}_S\circ h + v\circ\mathcal{L}_S\circ v. \tag{37}$$

Since $\mathcal{L}_S h = \mathcal{L}_S\circ h - h\circ\mathcal{L}_S$, it follows that formula (37) can be written as

$$\nabla = \mathcal{L}_S + h\circ\mathcal{L}_S h + v\circ\mathcal{L}_S v. \tag{38}$$

Formula (38) can be further expressed as

$$\nabla = \mathcal{L}_S + \Psi, \tag{39}$$

where

$$\Psi = h\circ\mathcal{L}_S h + v\circ\mathcal{L}_S v = \Gamma\circ\mathcal{L}_S h = (\mathbb{F}+J) - \Phi \tag{40}$$

is a (1,1)-type tensor field on $TM\setminus\{0\}$. Decomposition (39) of the dynamical covariant derivative ∇ can be compared with decomposition formula (96) in [26].

Let ω be a k-form on $TM\setminus\{0\}$. Since ∇ satisfies the Leibnitz rule, we obtain

$$(\nabla\omega)(X_1,...,X_k) = \nabla(\omega(X_1,...,X_k)) - \sum_{i=1}^{k}\omega(X_1,...,\nabla X_i,...,X_l). \tag{41}$$

Using expressions (41) and (39) we obtain that the dynamical covariant derivative ∇ has the following expression on $\Lambda^k(TM\setminus\{0\})$

$$\nabla = \mathcal{L}_S - i_\Psi. \tag{42}$$

The action of ∇ on vector valued k-forms on $TM\setminus\{0\}$ can be defined using a formula similar with (41). We obtain that for a vector valued k-form A on $TM\setminus\{0\}$, its dynamical covariant derivative is given by

$$\nabla A = \mathcal{L}_S A + \Psi\circ A - A\overline{\wedge}\Psi. \tag{43}$$

Formula (43) coincides with the semi-basic derivation acting on semi-basic vector valued forms considered by Grifone and Muzsnay [15, Proposition 4.4]. When $k=1$ and A is a $(1,1)$-type tensor field on $TM\setminus\{0\}$, we obtain that its dynamical covariant derivative is given by

$$\nabla A = \mathcal{L}_S A + \Psi\circ A - A\circ\Psi. \tag{44}$$

Next theorem shows that the dynamical covariant derivative ∇ preserves by parallelism the geometric structures induced by a semispray S.

Theorem 3.5. *Consider ∇ the dynamical covariant derivative induced by a semispray S and $k\geq 0$.*

i) $\nabla h = 0$, $\nabla v = 0$, *which means that ∇ preserves the horizontal and vertical distributions;*

ii) $\nabla J = 0$, $\nabla\mathbb{F} = 0$, *which means that ∇ acts identically on both vertical and horizontal distributions (see also formulae* (51) *and* (52) *below);*

iii) *The restriction of ∇ to $\Lambda^k(TM\setminus\{0\})$ and the exterior differential operator d satisfies the commutation formula*

$$d\nabla - \nabla d \;=\; d_\Psi. \tag{45}$$

iv) *The restriction of* ∇ *to* $\Lambda^k(TM\setminus\{0\})$ *satisfies the following commutation rule:*

$$\nabla i_A - i_A\nabla = i_{\nabla A}, \tag{46}$$

for any vector valued l*-form* A *on* $TM\setminus\{0\}$*. If* $l=1$ *and* $A\in\{h, v, J, \Gamma, \mathbb{F}\}$ *then*

$$\nabla i_A - i_A\nabla = 0. \tag{47}$$

Proof. From formula (40), which defines the $(1,1)$-type tensor Ψ, it follows that

$$h\circ\Psi - \Psi\circ h = \mathcal{L}_S h, \tag{48}$$

$$J\circ\Psi - \Psi\circ J = \mathcal{L}_S J, \tag{49}$$

$$\mathbb{F}\circ\Psi - \Psi\circ\mathbb{F} = \mathcal{L}_S\mathbb{F}. \tag{50}$$

Using formula (44), we obtain that the first two items of the proposition are true.

From formula (42) it follows that

$$d\nabla = d\mathcal{L}_S - di_\Psi = \mathcal{L}_S d - i_\Psi d + d_\Psi = \nabla d + d_\Psi$$

and hence formula (45) is true.

We will mainly need formula (46) for $l=0$ or $l=1$. We will prove it for $l=1$. Using formulae (42), (12) and (44), we have

$$\nabla i_A - i_A\nabla = \mathcal{L}_S i_A - i_A\mathcal{L}_S - i_\Psi i_A + i_A i_\Psi = i_{[S,A]} - i_{A\circ\Psi} + i_{\Psi\circ A} = i_{\nabla A}.$$

Using first two items of the theorem and formula (46) we obtain commutation formulae (47). ☐

From Theorem 3.5 we obtain that $\nabla J = 0$ and $\nabla i_J = i_J\nabla$ and hence the dynamical covariant derivative ∇ preserves semi-basic (vector valued) forms. The restriction of ∇ to semi-basic forms coincides with the *semi-basic derivation* studied by Grifone and Muzsnay [15]. Commutation rule (46) shows that the dynamical covariant derivative ∇ is a self-dual derivation in the sense of [26, Theorem 3.2].

To express the action of ∇, let us first note that

$$\left[S, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}\right] = -\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} + N_i^k\frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}, \quad \left[S, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}\right] = N_i^k\frac{\delta}{\delta x^k} + R_i^k\frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}.$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$\nabla\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} = h\left[S, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}\right] = N_i^k\frac{\delta}{\delta x^k}, \tag{51}$$

$$\nabla\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} = v\left[S, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}\right] = N_i^k\frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}, \tag{52}$$

and hence ∇ coincides with the covariant derivative studied in [3, 4]. Since horizontal and vertical vector fields can be projected onto vector fields along the tangent bundle projection, one can also project formulae (51) or (52) and obtain the dynamical covariant derivative along the tangent bundle projection studied in [11, 22, 25, 26, 36].

The next proposition shows that when S is a spray the dynamical covariant derivative has more properties.

Proposition 3.6. *Consider* ∇ *the dynamical covariant derivative induced by a spray* S*.*

i) $\nabla S = 0$ *and* $\nabla\mathbb{C} = 0$,

ii) $\nabla i_S = i_S \nabla$ *and* $\nabla i_{\mathbb{C}} = i_{\mathbb{C}} \nabla$.

Proof. Since $\Psi(S) = 0$ and $\Psi(\mathbb{C}) = S$ we obtain using formula (39) that $\nabla S = 0$ and $\nabla \mathbb{C} = 0$. Second part follows from formula (46) for $l = 0$ and $A \in \{S, \mathbb{C}\}$. □

4. Semi-basic 1-forms and Helmholtz conditions

In Section 5 we show that the geodesics of a semispray S are solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equations for some Lagrangian L if and only if there exists a semi-basic 1-form $\theta \in \Lambda^1(TM \setminus \{0\})$ such that the 1-form $\mathcal{L}_S\theta$ is closed. We first find necessary and sufficient conditions, called Helmholtz conditions, for a semi-basic 1-form $\theta \in \Lambda^1(TM \setminus \{0\})$ such that the 1-form $\mathcal{L}_S\theta$ is closed. We then relate these Helmholtz conditions with their classic formulation in terms of a multiplier matrix. Finally, we show that for a spray and a homogeneous, semi-basic 1-form $\theta \in \Lambda^1(TM \setminus \{0\})$, the 1-form $\mathcal{L}_S\theta$ is closed if and only if it is exact. Moreover, depending on the degree of homogeneity, some of the Helmholtz conditions can be derived from the other ones.

4.1. Helmholtz conditions for semi-basic 1-forms.

Next theorem provides necessary and sufficient conditions for a semi-basic 1-form $\theta \in \Lambda^1(TM \setminus \{0\})$ such that the 1-form $\mathcal{L}_S\theta$ is closed.

Theorem 4.1. *Let S be a semispray on M and let θ be a semi-basic 1-form on $TM \setminus \{0\}$. Then $\mathcal{L}_S\theta$ is closed if and only if it satisfies the following* Helmholtz conditions

$$d_h\theta = 0, \quad d_J\theta = 0, \quad \nabla d\theta = 0, \quad d_\Phi\theta = 0. \tag{53}$$

Proof. From formulae (42) and (40) it follows that for the 2-form $d\theta$ we have

$$\mathcal{L}_S d\theta = \nabla d\theta + i_{\mathbb{F}+J} d\theta - d_\Phi\theta. \tag{54}$$

For a semi-basic 1-form $\theta \in \Lambda^1(TM \setminus \{0\})$ we have

$$(d\theta)(JX, JY) = (JX)((\theta \circ J)(Y)) - (JY)((\theta \circ J)(X)) - \theta([JX, JY]) = 0, \tag{55}$$

for all X, Y in $\mathfrak{X}(TM \setminus \{0\})$. For the last equality in formula (55) we used that $\theta \circ J = 0$ and $[JX, JY] = J[X, JY] + J[JX, Y]$, which is true since $N_J = 0$. Therefore, the 2-form $d\theta$ vanishes on any pair of vertical vectors. Using the fact that $\nabla J = 0$, it follows that the 2-form $\nabla d\theta$ also vanishes on any pair of vertical vectors.

For a semi-basic 1-form θ we have that $\Phi \circ J = v \circ \mathcal{L}_S \circ h \circ J = 0$ and $J \circ \Phi = J \circ v \circ \mathcal{L}_S \circ h = 0$, since $h \circ J = 0$ and $J \circ v = 0$. Therefore,

$$d_\Phi\theta(X, JY) = i_\Phi d\theta(X, JY) = d\theta(\Phi X, JY) = 0. \tag{56}$$

Last equality in formula (56) is due to the fact that ΦX and JY are vertical vector fields.

We evaluate both sides of formula (54) on a pair of vectors of the form JX, JY, for arbitrary X, Y in $\mathfrak{X}(TM \setminus \{0\})$. Using formulae (55) and (56) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_S d\theta(JX, JY) &= i_{\mathbb{F}+J} d\theta(JX, JY) = d\theta\,(hX, JY) + d\theta\,(JX, hY) \\ &= d_J\theta\,(hX, hY) = d_J\theta(X, Y). \end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

We proceed now to prove that $\mathcal{L}_S\theta$ is closed if and only if conditions (53) are true. From formula (54) it follows that $\mathcal{L}_S\theta$ is closed if and only if

$$\nabla d\theta + i_{\mathbb{F}+J} d\theta - d_\Phi\theta = 0. \tag{58}$$

We assume first that $\mathcal{L}_S\theta$ is closed and prove that the four conditions in (53) hold. From formula (57) it follows that $d_J\theta = 0$. Therefore $\nabla d_J\theta = \nabla i_J d\theta = 0$. Using the commutation rule $\nabla i_J = i_J\nabla$, we obtain that $i_J\nabla d\theta = 0$ and hence

$$(\nabla d\theta)(JX, Y) + (\nabla d\theta)(X, JY) = 0, \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}\left(TM \setminus \{0\}\right). \tag{59}$$

Let us evaluate the 2-form $i_{\mathbb{F}+J}d\theta$ on a pair of vectors X, JY, for X, Y in $\mathfrak{X}\left(TM \setminus \{0\}\right)$. According to formula (57), this 2-form vanishes on the pair of vertical vectors vX, JY and hence we have

$$i_{\mathbb{F}+J}d\theta(X, JY) = i_{\mathbb{F}+J}d\theta(hX, JY) = d\theta(hX, hY) = d_h\theta(X, Y). \tag{60}$$

Therefore, if we evaluate the left hand side of formula (58) on a pair of vectors X, JY, for X, Y in $\mathfrak{X}\left(TM \setminus \{0\}\right)$ and use formula (60) we obtain

$$(\nabla d\theta)(X, JY) + d_h\theta(X, Y) = 0. \tag{61}$$

Similarly, if we evaluate the left hand side of formula (58) on a pair of vectors JX, Y, for X, Y in $\mathfrak{X}\left(TM \setminus \{0\}\right)$ and use formula (60) we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_S\theta(X, JY) = (\nabla d\theta)(JX, Y) + d_h\theta(X, Y). \tag{62}$$

Now, using formulae (61), (62) and (59) it follows that $d_h\theta = 0$ and $\nabla d\theta = 0$. Finally, from formula (58) it follows that last Helmholtz condition $d_\Phi\theta = 0$ is also satisfied.

For the other direction, let us assume that conditions in (53) hold and let us prove that $\mathcal{L}_S\theta$ is closed. In view of formula (54), we only need to prove that $i_{\mathbb{F}+J}d\theta = 0$. Since $(\mathbb{F} + J) \circ h = 0$ it follows that $i_{\mathbb{F}+J}d\theta$ vanishes on any pair of horizontal vectors. It remains to show that $i_{\mathbb{F}+J}d\theta(X, JY) = 0$, for two arbitrary vector fields X and Y on $TM \setminus \{0\}$. For vector field X there exists a vector field Z on $TM \setminus \{0\}$ such that $vX = JZ$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} i_{\mathbb{F}+J}d\theta(X, JY) &= i_{\mathbb{F}+J}d\theta(hX, JY) + i_{\mathbb{F}+J}d\theta(JZ, JY) \\ &= d_h\theta(X, Y) + d_J\theta(Z, Y). \end{aligned}$$

Conditions $d_J\theta = 0$, $d_h\theta = 0$, and the above considerations imply that $i_{\mathbb{F}+J}d\theta = 0$ and hence $\mathcal{L}_S\theta$ is closed. □

4.2. **Helmholtz conditions for a multiplier matrix.** We will show how conditions (53), expressed in terms of a semi-basic 1-form, are related with the classic formulation of Helmholtz conditions expressed in terms of a multiplier matrix.

For a semi-basic 1-form $\theta = \theta_i dx^i \in \Lambda^1(TM \setminus \{0\})$, let us introduce the following notations

$$a_{ij} := \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\delta\theta_i}{\delta x^j} - \frac{\delta\theta_j}{\delta x^i}\right), \quad g_{ij} := \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial\theta_i}{\partial y^j}. \tag{63}$$

With respect to these notations we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\theta &= a_{ij}dx^j \wedge dx^i + 2g_{ij}\delta y^j \wedge dx^i; \\ d_h\theta &= a_{ij}dx^j \wedge dx^i; \\ d_J\theta &= (g_{ij} - g_{ji})dx^j \wedge dx^i; \\ d_\Phi\theta &= (g_{kj}R^k_i - g_{ik}R^k_j)dx^j \wedge dx^i. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover if $d_h\theta = 0$ it follows that $\nabla d\theta = 2(\nabla g_{ij})\delta y^j \wedge dx^i$, where $\nabla g_{ij} = S(g_{ij}) - N_i^k g_{kj} - N_j^k g_{ik}$. Therefore, conditions (53) can be expressed in coordinates as follows:

$$a_{ij} = 0, \quad g_{ij} = g_{ji}, \quad \nabla g_{ij} = 0, \quad g_{ik}R^k_j = g_{jk}R^k_i. \tag{64}$$

Last three conditions in (64) together with

$$\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial y^k} = \frac{\partial g_{ik}}{\partial y^j},$$

which is satisfied in view second notation (63), are known as the Helmholtz conditions for the inverse problem of Lagrangian dynamics, [32]. A global formulation of Helmholtz conditions (64) in terms of the (0,2)-type symmetric tensor $g = g_{ij}dx^i \otimes dx^j$ along the tangent bundle projection has been obtained by Martinez et al. in [26].

4.3. **Homogeneous case.** In this section we prove Theorem 4.3, which is a refinement of Theorem 4.1 in the case that the 1-form θ is homogeneous. In this case, $\mathcal{L}_S\theta$ is closed if and only if $\mathcal{L}_S\theta$ is exact. Also, depending of the degree of homogeneity, one can drop either one or two conditions from Helmholtz conditions (53). See condition iv) in Theorem 4.3 below. The fact that for a spray S, one of the Helmholtz condition is a consequence of the other ones has been proved recently, in a different way, by Prince [30].

In Proposition 4.2 we show that a semi-basic 1-form, $(k-1)$-homogeneous with $k \neq 0$, is d_J-closed if and only if it is d_J-exact. This result has been obtained in a more general context by Klein [17], Klein and Voutier [18] and used recently by Vattamáni [41] and Szilasi and Vattamáni [38] in the Finslerian context.

Proposition 4.2. *Let k be an integer.*

i) *If L is a k-homogeneous function $L \in C^\infty(TM \setminus \{0\})$, then* Poincaré-Cartan 1-*form $d_JL \in \Lambda^1(TM \setminus \{0\})$ is semi-basic, d_J-closed, and $(k-1)$-homogeneous.*

ii) *If a semi-basic 1-form $\theta \in \Lambda^1(TM\setminus\{0\})$ is $(k-1)$-homogeneous with $k \neq 0$, and d_J-closed, then θ is d_J-exact. Moreover, if S is a spray on M, then*

$$L = \frac{1}{k} i_S\theta. \tag{65}$$

is the unique k-homogeneous function $L \in C^\infty(TM\setminus\{0\})$ such that $\theta = d_JL$ (we say that L is the potential function *for the semi-basic* 1-*form θ).*

Let us note that M has at least one spray since we assume that M is paracompact. Also, by uniqueness in ii), function L in equation (65) does not depend on S.

Proof. i) Since the tangent structure J is 0-homogeneous, which means that $[\mathbb{C}, J] = -J$, and using formula (7) we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}} d_J L - d_J \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}} L = -d_J L.$$

Therefore, d_JL is $(k-1)$-homogeneous since $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}}f = kf$. Also, d_JL is d_J-closed by equation (17), and semi-basic since $i_Jd_JL = dL \circ J^2 = 0$.

ii) Let S be a spray on M. We prove that $d_JL = \theta$, when function L is defined in equation (65). By definition we have $JS = \mathbb{C}$, and by equation (22), we have $[S, J] = -\Gamma$. The generalized Cartan's formula (13) then gives

$$i_S d_J\theta + d_J i_S\theta = \mathcal{L}_{J(S)}\theta - i_{[S,J]}\theta = \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}}\theta + i_\Gamma\theta.$$

Now $d_J\theta = 0$, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}}\theta = (k-1)\theta$, and $i_\Gamma\theta = \theta\circ\Gamma = \theta\circ h = \theta$, so $d_J i_S\theta = k\theta$ and $d_J L = \theta$ by equation (65).

Let S and L be as in the proof of ii). To prove that L is k-homogeneous, let us first note that $[\mathbb{C}, S] = S$, and by homogeneity $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}}\theta = (k-1)\theta$. Commutation rule

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}} i_S\theta - i_S\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}}\theta = i_{[\mathbb{C},S]}\theta$$

then gives $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}}L = kL$, where L is defined in equation (65).

For uniqueness, suppose that $\tilde{L}$ is another k-homogeneous potential function for θ. Then $\theta = d_J\tilde{L} = d_J L$. If S^* is a spray on M, then $d_J L(S^*) = \mathbb{C}(L) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}}L = kL$. Hence $kL = k\tilde{L}$, and $L = \tilde{L}$. □

Theorem 4.3. *Let S be a spray on M, and let $\theta \in \Lambda^1(TM\setminus\{0\})$ be a semi-basic 1-form. If θ is $(k-1)$-homogeneous with $k \neq 0$, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

i) *$\mathcal{L}_S\theta$ is closed;*
ii) *$\mathcal{L}_S\theta$ is exact;*
iii) *$k\mathcal{L}_S\theta = di_S\theta$;*
iv) $\begin{cases} d_h\theta = 0,\ d_J\theta = 0, & \textit{when } k = 1, \\ d_h\theta = 0,\ d_J\theta = 0,\ \nabla d\theta = 0, & \textit{when } k \notin \{-1,0,1\}. \end{cases}$

Proof. Implications iii) ⇒ ii) ⇒ i) are clear, and implication i) ⇒ iv) follows by Theorem 4.1. To prove implication iv) ⇒ iii), let us assume that one branch in iv) holds. By the generalized Cartan's formula (13) we have $i_S d_h\theta + d_h i_S\theta = \mathcal{L}_S\theta - i_{[S,h]}\theta$. Since θ is semi-basic, formula (25) yields $i_{[S,h]}\theta = \theta\circ\mathbb{F}$, and by assumption $d_h\theta = 0$. Hence

$$\mathcal{L}_S\theta = d_h i_S\theta + \theta\circ\mathbb{F}. \tag{66}$$

Since θ is d_J-closed and $(k-1)$-homogeneous, Proposition 4.2 implies that there exists a k-homogeneous function $L \in C^\infty(TM\setminus\{0\})$ such that $kL = i_S\theta$. Since $J\circ\mathbb{F} = v$, we have $\theta\circ\mathbb{F} = d_J f\circ\mathbb{F} = d_v L$, and using $dL = d_v L + d_h L$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_S\theta = k d_h L + d_v L = dL + (k-1)d_h L. \tag{67}$$

Case 1*:* When $k = 1$ equation (67) implies that $\mathcal{L}_S\theta = dL$ and iii) follows.
Case 2*:* We show that if $k \notin \{-1, 0, +1\}$ then $d_h L = 0$ whence condition iii) follows by equation (67). Using Cartan's formula (6) we have $\mathcal{L}_S\theta = i_S d\theta + di_S\theta = i_S d\theta + kdL$. Combining this with formula (67) gives $i_S d\theta = (1-k)d_v L$, whence

$$\nabla d_v L = \frac{1}{1-k}\nabla i_S d\theta = \frac{1}{1-k} i_S\nabla d\theta = 0,$$

where we used $\nabla i_S = i_S\nabla$ and assumption $\nabla d\theta = 0$. Contracting $\nabla d_v L = 0$ by $\mathbb{C}$ similarly gives

$$0 = i_{\mathbb{C}}\nabla d_v L = \nabla i_{\mathbb{C}} d_v L = k\nabla L.$$

We have proven that $\nabla L = 0$, so $\mathcal{L}_S L = 0$. Equation (7) then gives

$$d_{[S,J]}L = \mathcal{L}_S d_J L - d_J\mathcal{L}_S L = \mathcal{L}_S d_J L. \tag{68}$$

By equations (20), we have $[S,J] = v - h$, so $d_{[S,J]}L = d_v L - d_h L$. Equation (67) gives $\mathcal{L}_S d_J L = \mathcal{L}_S\theta = dL + (k-1)d_h L = d_v L + k d_h L$ since $dL = d_v L + d_h L$. Now equation (68) gives $(k+1)d_h L = 0$. Thus $d_h L = 0$ and iii) follows. □

5. The inverse problem of the calculus of variations

The inverse problem of the calculus of variations for a given semispray has solutions if and only if there exists a multiplier matrix that satisfies the Helmholtz conditions (64), [7, 21, 32]. Within the Helmholtz conditions, the multiplier matrix is the Hessian of a (locally defined) Lagrangian for which the given semispray is an Euler-Lagrange vector field.

In the previous section we did reformulate the Helmholtz conditions in terms of semi-basic 1-forms. In this section, we prove that the inverse problem of the calculus of variation has solutions if and only if there exists a semi-basic 1-form that satisfies the Helmholtz conditions (53). In this case, the semi-basic 1-form is the Poincaré-Cartan 1-form of a locally defined Lagrangian for which the semispray is an Euler-Lagrange vector field.

In the homogeneous case, according to Theorem 4.3, we have that if for a spray S there exists a $(k-1)$-homogeneous semi-basic 1-form θ, $k\neq 0$, that satisfies the Helmholtz conditions (53) then its potential function $L=(1/k)i_S\theta$ is a globally defined Lagrangian for which S is an Euler-Lagrange vector field. We will use this result to study two inverse problems in Finsler geometry.

5.1. Lagrangian semisprays.

We show that Helmholtz conditions (53) are necessary and sufficient conditions for a semispray S to be locally Lagrangian.

Definition 5.1.
- i) A smooth function $L\in C^\infty(TM\setminus\{0\})$ is called a *Lagrangian.*
- ii) If for a Lagrangian L, its *Poincaré-Cartan* 1-form d_JL is non-degenerate, then the Lagrangian is called *regular.*
- iii) If there exists a 1-homogeneous function $F\in C^\infty(TM\setminus\{0\})$ such that the Lagrangian $L=F^2$ is regular, then F is called a *Finsler metric.*

For a regular Lagrangian L, the non-degeneracy of the Poincaré-Cartan 1-form d_JL states that the $n\times n$ symmetric matrix with components

$$g_{ij}(x,y)=\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial y^i\partial y^j}(x,y) \tag{69}$$

has rank n on $TM\setminus\{0\}$, [28].

For a Lagrangian L, the variational problem leads to the Euler-Lagrange equations:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y^i}\right)-\frac{\partial L}{\partial x^i}=0. \tag{70}$$

For a semispray S, its geodesics, given by the system of second order differential equations (19), are solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equations (70) if and only if the two sets of equations are related by formula (2), with the multiplier matrix given by formula (69). Therefore, if for a semispray S, there exists a Lagrangian L such that formula (2) holds true, then Euler-Lagrange equations (70) are equivalent with [28, 33]

$$S\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y^i}\right)-\frac{\partial L}{\partial x^i}=0, \tag{71}$$

which can be further expressed as

$$\mathcal{L}_S d_J L=dL. \tag{72}$$

For a Lagrangian L, a semispray S that satisfies equation (72) is called an *Euler-Lagrange vector field*. If L is regular, L has an unique Euler-Lagrange vector field.

Definition 5.2. A semispray S on M is called (locally) *Lagrangian* if there exists a (locally defined) Lagrangian L that satisfies equation (72).

Theorem 5.3. *Let S be a semispray on M. Then, S is a locally Lagrangian vector field if and only if there exists a semi-basic 1-form $\theta \in \Lambda^1(TM \setminus \{0\})$ such that the Helmholtz conditions* (53) *are satisfied.*

Proof. We assume that the semispray S is derived from a locally defined Lagrangian L. Consider $\theta = d_J L$, the Poincaré-Cartan 1-form of L. From formula (72) it follows that $\mathcal{L}_S\theta$ is closed and using Theorem 4.1 it follows that the semi-basic 1-form θ satisfies Helmholtz conditions (53).

For the converse, consider a semi-basic 1-form $\theta \in \Lambda^1(TM \setminus \{0\})$ such that Helmholtz conditions (53) are satisfied. Using Theorem 4.1 it follows that the 1-form $\mathcal{L}_S\theta$ is closed. Therefore, there exists a locally defined function L on $TM\setminus\{0\}$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}_S\theta = dL. \tag{73}$$

If we apply i_J to both sides of formula (73) we obtain

$$i_J\mathcal{L}_S\theta = d_J L. \tag{74}$$

From formulae (12) and (22) we obtain the following commutation formula

$$i_J\mathcal{L}_S - \mathcal{L}_S i_J = -i_{[S,J]} = i_{h-v}. \tag{75}$$

Now, we substitute the derivation $i_J\mathcal{L}_S$ from formula (75) into formula (74), we use that θ is semi-basic, which implies that $i_J\theta = 0$ and $i_{h-v}\theta = \theta$ and obtain

$$d_J L = \theta. \tag{76}$$

In view of equations (73) and (76) we obtain that equation (72) is satisfied and hence the semispray S is a locally Lagrangian vector field. □

The regularity of a Lagrangian is characterized by the non-degeneracy of its Poincaré-Cartan 1-form. Therefore, a semispray S is induced by a (locally defined) regular Lagrangian if and only if there exists a non-degenerate semi-basic 1-form $\theta \in \Lambda^1(TM \setminus \{0\})$ that satisfies the Helmholtz conditions (53).

Theorem 5.3 was inspired by a Theorem of Crampin [7], where locally Lagrangian semisprays are characterized in terms of 2-forms. A version of this result, in the homogeneous case, is due to Klein [17].

Sarlet et al. [33] associate to a semispray S a particular subset $\Lambda^1_S(TM \setminus \{0\}) = \{\omega \in \Lambda^1(TM \setminus \{0\}), \mathcal{L}_S i_J\omega = \omega\}$ of 1-forms on $TM \setminus \{0\}$. (Locally) Lagrangian semisprays are then characterized by the property that $\Lambda^1_S(TM \setminus \{0\})$ contains an element ω that is (closed) exact and $i_J\omega$ is non-degenerate. The relation between this result and Theorem 5.3 is as follows. Let θ be a non-degenerate, semi-basic 1-form such that $\mathcal{L}_S\theta$ is closed. Consider the closed 1-form $\omega = \mathcal{L}_S\theta$. From formula (74) it follows that $i_J\omega = \theta$ is non-degenerate, and from equation (72) it follows that $\mathcal{L}_S i_J\omega = \omega$, which means that $\omega \in \Lambda^1_S(TM \setminus \{0\})$.

For a Lagrangian semispray S, two of the Helmholtz conditions (64): $a_{ij} = 0$ and $\nabla g_{ij} = 0$ where used in [3] to characterize the canonical nonlinear connection of a Lagrange space.

5.2. **Further discussions of Helmholtz conditions.** For a semispray S, consider a semi-basic 1-form θ on $TM \setminus \{0\}$ that satisfies the Helmholtz conditions (53). Three of these conditions can be expressed as follows

$$i_{\Gamma} d\theta = 0, \quad i_J d\theta = 0, \quad i_{\Phi} d\theta = 0. \tag{77}$$

First two conditions (77) fixes the number of unknown components of $d\theta = 2g_{ij}\delta y^j \wedge dx^i$ to $n(n+1)/2$. Third condition (77) imposes algebraic restrictions on $d\theta$.

Grifone and Muzsnay associate to a semispray S the graded Lie algebra $\mathcal{A}_S$ of vector valued forms A such that $i_A d\theta = 0$. Using Theorem 3.5 it follows that if $A \in \mathcal{A}_S$ then $\nabla A \in \mathcal{A}_S$. Therefore, iterated covariant derivatives $\nabla^k \Phi$ of the Jacobi endomorphism impose further algebraic restrictions on $d\theta$

$$i_{\nabla^k \Phi} d\theta = 0. \tag{78}$$

The sequence of $(1,1)$-type tensor fields $\Phi^{(k)} := \nabla^k \Phi$ where considered previously by Sarlet [32], Crampin [8] and Grifone and Muzsnay [15].

From formula (7) it follows that if $A, B \in \mathcal{A}_S$ then $[A, B] \in \mathcal{A}_S$. Therefore, Helmholtz conditions $d_J\theta = 0$ and $d_\Phi \theta = 0$ and formula (30) imply that $d_R\theta = 0$, which gives a new algebraic restriction on $d\theta$

$$i_R d\theta = 0. \tag{79}$$

Hence, the graded Lie algebra $\mathcal{A}_S$ of algebraic restrictions on $d\theta$ contains also the sequence of iterated covariant derivatives $\nabla^k R$ of the curvature tensor R.

The graded Lie algebra $\mathcal{A}_S$ is used in general to formulate non-existence results for a semispray S to be Lagrangian, [15, 32]. It follows that if there exists $p \in M$ such that $\operatorname{rank}\{\mathcal{A}_S(p)\} > n(n+1)/2$ then S is not Lagrangian.

We note that for the homogeneous case the fact that some of the Helmholtz conditions can be derived from the other ones, in a non-linear way, does not change the rank of $\mathcal{A}_S$ and hence it does not change the rank of algebraic restrictions one have to impose on $d\theta$.

5.3. **Lagrangian sprays.** We show that in the homogeneous case, a spray S is Lagrangian if and only if only two or three of the Helmholtz conditions are satisfied, depending on the degree of homogeneity. In particular we discuss Helmholtz conditions for two important inverse problems: projective metrizability and Finsler metrizability.

Theorem 5.4. *Let S be a spray on M. Then S is a Lagrangian vector field, induced by a k-homogeneous Lagrangian, if and only if there exists a $(k-1)$-homogeneous, semi-basic 1-form $\theta \in \Lambda^1(TM \setminus \{0\})$ such that*

$$\begin{cases} d_h\theta = 0, \ d_J\theta = 0, & \text{when } k = 1, \\ d_h\theta = 0, \ d_J\theta = 0, \ \nabla d\theta = 0, & \text{when } k \notin \{-1, 0, 1\}. \end{cases} \tag{80}$$

Proof. Suppose that the spray S is an Euler-Lagrange vector field for a k-homogenous lagrangian L. It follows that the Poincaré-Cartan 1-form $\theta = d_J L$ is a $(k-1)$-homogeneous, semi-basic 1-form. Since equation (72) holds true it follows using Theorem 4.3 that θ satisfies conditions (80).

Conversely, suppose that there exists a $(k-1)$-homogeneous, semi-basic 1-form $\theta \in \Lambda^1(TM \setminus \{0\})$ satisfies conditions (80). From Proposition 4.2 it follows that $L = (1/k) i_S \theta$ is a k-homogeneous Lagrangian. Using Theorem 4.3 it follows that conditions (80) imply that L satisfies equation (72) and hence S is a Lagrangian vector field. □

Next, we will discuss in more details the two branches of conditions (80) and show that they correspond to two inverse problems studied in Finsler geometry: Finsler metrizability and projective metrizability.

Definition 5.5. A spray S is *projectively metrizable* if there exists a 1-homogeneous Lagrangian F such that equation (72) is satisfied.

Note that in this definition and hence this work we do not necessarily assume that F is a Finsler metric, which in addition would require that the Hessian of F with respect to the fibre derivatives has rank $(n-1)$. For a discussion on the regularity of the Lagrangian $L=F^2$ and the hessian of F we refer to the book of Matsumoto [24] as well as to the recent work of Crampin [10] and Szilasi [37]. If a spray S is projectively metrizable, its geodesics, up to an orientation preserving reparameterization, are solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equations of a 1-homogeneous lagrangian L. Indeed if a F is a 1-homogenous solution of (72) then the Euler-Lagrange equations (70) for F can be written as

$$h_{ij}\left(x, \frac{dx}{dt}\right)\left(\frac{d^2x^i}{dt^2}+2G^i\left(x, \frac{dx}{dt}\right)\right)=0. \tag{81}$$

In the above equations (81) h_{ij} are the components of the Hessian of F with respect to the fiber coordinates. Since h_{ij} are (-1)-homogeneous it follows that $h_{ij}\frac{dx^j}{dt}=0$ and hence the system of equations (81) is invariant under an orientation preserving reparameterization.

The problem of projective metrizability of a spray S is related to Hilbert's fourth problem. For a flat spray this problem was first studied by Hamel [16] and it is known as the Finslerian version of Hilbert's fourth problem [1, 10, 37]. For a general spray, Rapcsák [31] was first to provide criteria, in local coordinates, for the projective metrizability of a spray. Global formulations for projective metrizability criteria were obtained by Klein [17], Klein and Voutier [18] and Szilasi [37]. An extensive discussion of the projective metrizability of a spray appears in Vattamány's Ph.D thesis [41, chapter 2].

According to Theorem 5.4, we have that a spray S is projectively metrizable if and only if there exists a 0-homogeneous, semi-basic 1-form $\theta\in\Lambda^1(TM\setminus\{0\})$ such that $d_h\theta=0$ and $d_J\theta=0$. According to Proposition 4.2 the condition $d_J\theta=0$ implies that $F=i_S\theta$ is the only 1-homogeneous Lagrangian that satisfies $\theta=d_JF$. Moreover, from Theorem 4.3 it follows that F satisfies the condition $\mathcal{L}_Sd_JF=dF$, which is equivalent to $i_Sdd_JF=0$. Last condition represents condition Rap 1 in Theorem 8.1 by Szilasi [37]. Also condition $d_h\theta=0$ represents condition Rap 4 in the same cited work.

For the particular case of a flat spray we obtain that the induced nonlinear connection is integrable and hence $[h,h]=0$. It follows that $d_h^2=0$ and therefore any d_h-closed semi-basic 1-form is locally d_h-exact, [39]. Since $d_h\theta=0$ it follows that there exists a 0-homogeneous function $f\in C^\infty(TM\setminus\{0\})$ such that $\theta=d_hf$. From the above discussion we have that the 1-homogeneous function $F=i_S\theta$ projectively metricizes the spray S if and only if $\theta=d_hf$. Therefore

$$F=i_S\theta=i_Sd_hf=S(f)$$

projectively metricizes the spray S if and only if $d_h d_J f = 0$. In local coordinates, we have that last condition is equivalent to

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^i \partial x^j} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^j \partial x^i}. \tag{82}$$

This is a reformulation of Proposition 2 by Crampin [10] or Proposition 8.1 by Szilasi [37], which state that $F = S(f)$ is a 1-homogeneous function that projectively metricizes the spray S if and only if there exists a 0-homogeneous function f on $TM\backslash\{0\}$ that satisfies condition (82). Both Crampin and Sarlet ask more conditions for the symmetric bilinear form with components (82) to obtain that $F = S(f)$ is a Finsler function.

Definition 5.6. A spray S is *Finsler metrizable* if there exists a 2-homogeneous Lagrangian L such that equation (72) is satisfied.

Note that in this definition and hence this work we do not necessarily require the regularity of the Lagrangian. If a spray S is Finsler metrizable, its geodesics are also solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equations of a 2-homogeneous lagrangian L. The Finsler metrizability problem, viewed as the inverse problem of the calculus of variation restricted to the class of 2-homogeneous Lagrangians has been studied recently by Crampin [9], Krupka and Sattarov [20], Muzsnay [29], Prince [30], Szilasi and Vattamáni [38].

According to Theorem 5.4, we have that a spray S is Finsler metrizable if and only if there exists a 1-homogeneous, semi-basic 1-form $\theta \in \Lambda^1(TM\setminus\{0\})$ such that $d_h\theta = 0$, $d_J\theta = 0$ and $\nabla d\theta = 0$. According to Proposition 4.2 the condition $d_J\theta = 0$ implies that $2L = i_S\theta$ is the only 2-homogeneous Lagrangian that satisfies $\theta = d_J L$. Moreover, from Theorem 4.3 it follows that L satisfies the condition $\mathcal{L}_S d_J L = dL$, which is equivalent to $i_S dd_J L = -dL$. Last condition is equivalent to $d_h L = 0$ that has been used by Muzsnay [29] to obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for Finsler metrizability in term of an associated holonomy algebra.

Acknowledgements. The authors wish to acknowledge fruitful comments from W. Sarlet and express their thanks to J. Szilasi for the reference [41].

I.B has been supported by grant ID 398 from the Romanian Ministry of Education. M.D. has been supported by Academy of Finland Center of Excellence Programme 213476, the Institute of Mathematics at the Helsinki University of Technology, and Tekes project MASIT03 – Inverse Problems and Reliability of Models.

REFERENCES

[1] Álvarez Paiva, J.C.: *Symplectic geometry and Hilbert's fourth poblem*, J. Diff. Gem., **69**(2005), 353–378.

[2] Ambrose, W., Palais, R.S., Singer, I.M.: *Sprays.* An. Acad. Brasil. **32** (1960), 163–178.

[3] Bucataru, I.: *Metric nonlinear connections*, Differential Geom. Appl., **25** (3)(2007), 335–343.

[4] Bucataru, I., Miron, R.: *Finsler-Lagrange geometry. Applications to dynamical systems*, Romanian Academy, 2007.

[5] Carinẽna, J.F., Martínez, E.: *Generalized Jacobi equation and inverse problem in classical mechanics*, in V.V.Dodonov and V.I.Manko, eds., *Group Theoretical Methods in Physics*, Proc. 18th Int. Colloquim 1990, Moskow, vol. II, Nova Science Publishers, New York, 1991.

[6] Crampin, M.: *On horizontal distributions on the tangent bundle of a differentiable manifold*, J. London Math. Soc., **3** (2) (1971), 178–182.

[7] Crampin, M.: *On the differential geometry of the Euler-Lagrange equations, and the inverse problem of Lagrangian dynamics*, J. Phy. A: Math. Gen., **14** (1981), 2567-2575.

[8] Crampin, M.: *Tangent bundle geometry for Lagrangian dynamics.* J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., **16** (1983), 3755–3772.

[9] Crampin, M.: *On the inverse problem for sprays*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, **70** (3-4) (2007), 319–335.

[10] Crampin, M.: *Some remarks on the Finslerian version of Hilbert's fourth problem*, 2008, http://kraz.ugent.be/pub/tm/mcrampin/hil4.pdf

[11] Crampin, M., Martínez, E., Sarlet, W.: *Linear connections for systems of second-order ordinary differential equations.* Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor. **65** (2) (1996), 223–249.

[12] Dazord, P.: *Sur une généralisation de la notion de "spray"*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **263** (1966), 543–546.

[13] Frölicher, A., Nijenhuis, A.: *Theory of vector-valued differential forms. I. Derivations of the graded ring of differential forms* Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A. 59 Indag. Math. **18** (1956), 338–359.

[14] Grifone, J.: *Structure presque-tangente et connexions I, II*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **22** (1,3) (1972), 287–334, 291–338.

[15] Grifone, J., Muzsnay, Z.: *Variational Principles for Second-order Differential Equations*, World-Scientific, 2000.

[16] Hamel, G.: *Über die Geometrien, in denen die Geraden die Kürzesten sind*, Math. Ann. **57** (1903) 231–264.

[17] Klein, J.: *Espaces variationnels et mécanique*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **12** (1962), 1–124.

[18] Klein, J., Voutier, A.: *Formes extérieures génératrices de sprays*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **18** (1) (1968), 241–260.

[19] Kolar, I., Michor, P.W., Slovak, J.: *Natural operations in differential geometry*, Springer-verlag, 1993.

[20] Krupka, D., Sattarov, A.E.: *The inverse problem of the calculus of variations for Finsler structures.* Math. Slovaca **35** (1985), 217–222.

[21] Krupková, O.: *The geometry of ordinary variational equations*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1678. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.

[22] Krupková, O, Prince, G.E.: *Second Order Ordinary Differential Equations in Jet Bundles and the Inverse Problem of the Calculus of Variations*, In The Handbook of Global Analysis ed. D. Krupka and D. Saunders. Elsevier (2007)

[23] de León, M., Rodrigues, P.R.: *Methods of differential geometry in analytical mechanics*, North-Holland, 1989.

[24] Matsumoto, M.: *Foundations of Finsler geometry and special Finsler spaces*, Kaiseisha Press, 1986.

[25] Martínez, E., Carinẽna, J.F. Sarlet, W.: *Geometric characterization of separable second-order differential equations.* Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **113** (1) (1993), 205–224.

[26] Martínez, E., Carinẽna, J. F., Sarlet, W.: *Derivations of differential forms along the tangent bundle projection. II.* Differential Geom. Appl. **3** (1) (1993), 1–29.

[27] Miron, R., Anastasiei, M.: *The geometry of Lagrange spaces: theory and applications*, Fundamental Theories of Physics, 59. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1994.

[28] Morandi, G., Ferrario, C., Lo Vecchio, G., Marmo, G., Rubano, C.: *The inverse problem in the calculus of variations and the geometry of the tangent bundle.* Phys. Rep. **188**, (3-4) (1990), 147–284.

[29] Muzsnay, Z.: *The Euler-Lagrange PDE and Finsler metrizability*, Houston J. Math., **32** (1) (2006), 79–98.

[30] Prince, G.E: *On the inverse problem for autoparallels*, In "Variations, Geometry and Physics" in honour of Demeter Krupkas sixty-fifth birthday, O. Krupková and D.J. Saunders (Editors) Nova Science Publishers (2008), 395–406.

[31] Rapcsák, A.: *Die Bestimmung der Grundfunktionen projektiv-ebener metrischer Räume*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **9** (1962) 164–167.

[32] Sarlet, W.: *The Helmholtz conditions revisited. A new approach to the inverse problem of Lagrangian dynamics*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., **15**(1982), 1503–1517.

[33] Sarlet, W., Cantrijn, F., Crampin, M.: *A new look at second order equations and Lagrangian mechanics.* J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **17** (10) (1984), 1999–2009.

[34] Sarlet, W.: *Linear connections along the tangent bundle projection*, In "Variations, Geometry and Physics" in honour of Demeter Krupkas sixty-fifth birthday, O. Krupková and D.J. Saunders (Editors) Nova Science Publishers (2008).

[35] Shen, Z.: *Differential geometry of spray and Finsler spaces*, Springer, 2001.

[36] Szilasi, J.: *A setting for spray and Finsler geometry*, Handbook of Finsler geometry, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, Vol. 2, 2003, 1183–1426.

[37] Szilasi, J.: *Calculus along the tangent bundle projection and projective metrizability*, Differential geometry and its applications - Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on DGA2007, World Scientific, 2008, 527–546.

[38] Szilasi, J., Vattamáni, S.: *On the Finsler-metrizabilities of spray manifolds*, Period. Math. Hungar. **44** (2002), 81–100.

[39] Vaisman, I.: *Cohomology and differential forms*, Marcel Dekker, 1973.

[40] Vaisman, I.: d_f*-cohomology of Lagrangian foliations*. Monatsh. Math. **106** (3) (1988), 221–244.

[41] Vattamáni, S.: *On the projective geomtry and metrizability of spray manifolds*, Ph.D. Theisis, Institute of Mthematics, Debrecen 2004.

Ioan Bucataru, Faculty of Mathematics, Al.I.Cuza University, B-dul Carol 11, Iasi, 700506, Romania
URL: `http://www.math.uaic.ro/~bucataru/`

Matias F. Dahl, Institute of Mathematics, P.O.Box 1100, 02015 Helsinki University of Technology, Finland
URL: `http://www.math.tkk.fi/~fdahl/`